Appl. No.: 10/721,113

Amdt. Dated June 27, 2006

Reply to Office Action of March 27, 2006

**Amendments to the Drawings:** 

The attached sheet of drawings includes changes to Figs. 8 and 9, the

addition of reference numerals to these figures. This sheet, which includes Figs. 7, 8

and 9, will replace the original sheet of these figures upon approval by the Examiner.

An annotated sheet is also attached. Once approved by the Examiner, a new formal

drawing will be provided if necessary.

Attachment:

**Annotated Sheet Showing Changes** 

**Replacement Sheet** 

Claims 1 - 3 are pending in the application.

Claim 1 has been amended. The Examiner has offered rejections against

Claims 1 - 3. The rejections are respectfully traversed in light of the amendments

and arguments contained herein.

The Examiner has rejected Claims 1, 2, and 3 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as

obvious over newly cited U.S. Patent No. 5,952,592 to Teel in view of U.S. Patent

No.5,406,874 to Witchel. The Applicant respectfully traverses the rejections. The

Examiner has presented Teel as disclosing "a brace bar comprising a narrow portion

having a cross-section with a profile of which at least one side extends vertically

upward to a position of a predetermined height, thus forming a lower base part (see

Figure 2)." The Examiner notes that Teel does not disclose the "specific use of a

brace bar that is bent inward at said position toward a center of the brace bar, and:

thereafter, extends upward while being inclined at a predetermined inclination angle

to form a tapered upper end." Instead the Examiner offers the combination with

Witchel which she notes as disclosing "the use of a brace bar that is bent inward at

said position toward a center of the brace bar, and: thereafter, extends upward while

being inclined at a predetermined inclination angle to form a tapered upper part (see

Figure 2). Witchel teaches a brace bar having sides that extend vertically upward

while inclined to a top of the brace bar (Fig. 2) for supporting and attaching to a

soundboard (14)."

The Applicant respectfully traverses the rejection. With regard to the Teel

reference, newly amended claim 1 now sets forth a lower base part that is bent

inward at the position of the pre-determined height toward a center of the brace bar

6 of 8

Appl. No.: 10/721,113

Amdt. Dated June 27, 2006

Reply to Office Action of March 27, 2006

thereby forming an upper surface of said lower base part, and, thereafter, extending

upward while being inclined at a predetermined inclination angle to form a tapered

upper part. Neither Teel nor Witchel teach an upper surface to a lower base part.

In view of the arguments and amendments listed above, the combinations of

the references cited do not disclose the entire apparatus as claimed. Further, there

is no teaching or suggestion in either reference to combine the references.

Additionally, with respect to Witchel, the reference, related to a melamine sheet

guitar, depicts in its Figs. 7 and 8, cribbing that is essentially L-shaped with concave

curvature on the outer-facing side and curve-easing cuts into the cribbing at intervals

along the length of the cribbing strip. Witchel, therefore, suffers from the same

difference from the present application's invention as does the previously cited

Stephens as discussed in the prior responses, that the "angle" referenced by the

Examiner is instead a concave curve. Therefore every limitation of Applicant's

present claims cannot be present in the combination of the cited art references. As a

result, the claims of the present invention are not obvious, and the Applicant

respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw her rejections and place the

application in line for allowance.

The Applicant has attempted to be fully responsive to the office action, and

believes the claims should now be in line for allowance. However, if the Examiner

7 of 8

Appl. No.: 10/721,113

Amdt. Dated June 27, 2006

Reply to Office Action of March 27, 2006

feels that it would be helpful, the undersigned would very much appreciate a telephone call in order to discuss any outstanding issues and expedite placement of the application into condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

Robert - Becher Robert W. Becker, Reg. 26,255

Attorney for Applicant(s)

**ROBERT W. BECKER & ASSOCIATES** 

707 Highway 66 East, Suite B Tijeras, New Mexico 87059

Telefax:

Telephone: 505 286 3511 505 286 3524

RWB:KCF:mac

Attachments

S/N 10/721,113

OA dated June 27, 2006

Amdt dated March 27, 2006

Annotated Sheet

